



Renaissance One
Two North Central Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2391
602.229.5200
Fax 602.229.5890
www.quarles.com

Attorneys at Law in
Milwaukee and Madison, Wisconsin
Naples and Boca Raton, Florida
Phoenix and Tucson, Arizona
Chicago, Illinois

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 25 2006

Facsimile Transmission Form

Date: September 25, 2006

To:	Fax No.	Phone No.
Name Company/Firm City, State Zip Country	MS Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner for Patents 571-273-8300	

From:	Robert D. Atkins	602.229.5690	602.229.5311
Re:	USSN: 10/613,281 Confirmation No. 4021 Applicant: Brookshire, M.		

Message:

IF YOU HAVE PROBLEMS RECEIVING THIS FACSIMILE, PLEASE CALL US IMMEDIATELY AT:
602.229.5361

No. of Pages (Including Cover): <u>7</u>	Job Code: _____
Client - Matter No.: <u>121236.00003</u>	Time Keeper: <u>RATKINS</u>
Recipient: <u>MS Appeal Brief-Patents</u>	Return To: _____
Requestor Name: _____	Phone No.: _____

IMPORTANT: THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED AND/OR CONFIDENTIAL. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA REGULAR POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 25 2006

(04-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0851-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM <i>(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)</i>	Application Number	10/613,281	
	Filing Date	July 3, 2003	
	First Named Inventor	Michael D. Brookshire	
	Art Unit	3677	
	Examiner Name	Lavinder, Jack W.	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	6	Attorney Docket Number	121236.00003

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC) <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
Remarks _____		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT	
Firm or Individual name	Robert D. Atkins, Reg. No. 34,288 - QUARLES & BRADY STREICH LANG LLP
Signature	<i>Robert D. Atkins</i>
Date	September 25, 2006

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.	
Typed or printed name	Robert D. Atkins
Signature	<i>Robert D. Atkins</i>
Date	Sept. 25, 2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

2039699

SEP 25 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Applicant(s) : Michael D. Brookshire
Serial Number : 10/613,281
Date of Filing : July 3, 2003
Title : METHOD OF FACETING GEMSTONES TO
PRODUCE SPIRALING EFFECT
Confirmation No. : 4021
Art Unit : 3677
Examiner : Lavinder, Jack W.
USPTO Customer No. : 26707
Attorney Docket No. : 121236.00003

REPLY BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Appellant hereby submits this Reply Brief in response to the Examiner's Answer mailed July 25, 2006. Appellant wishes to maintain the present appeal.

II. STATUS OF CLAIMS

The present application contains 20 pending claims. Claims 25-44 have been finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. Claims 25-44 have further been finally

QBPHX2038623.1

U.S. Serial No.: 10/613,281
Applicant: Brookshire, M.
REPLY BRIEF

rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the Diagrams for Faceting reference in view of Meyer (250378), Schenck (D35938), and Schenck (43724). A copy of claims 25-44, the claims on Appeal, is provided in the Appeal Brief.

II. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Whether claims 25-44 are indefinite under U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Whether claims 25-44 are unpatentable under U.S.C. § 103(a) over the Diagrams for Faceting reference in view of Meyer (250378), Schenck (D35938), and Schenck (43724).

III. ARGUMENT

Appellant's Appeal Brief filed April 24, 2006, addresses the rejection of claims 25-44 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the Diagrams for Faceting reference in view of Meyer (250378), Schenck (D35938), and Schenck (43724). Appellant believes the Examiner's Answer contains no new grounds for rejection based on 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). No further discussion concerning the 103 rejection is deemed to be necessary or appropriate. However, Appellant believes the Examiner has presented new grounds for rejection based on 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Accordingly, Appellant submits this Rely Brief to address the 112 rejection.

The Examiner rejects claims 25-44 based on the claim preamble which contains the language "naturally occurring precious gemstone." The Examiner believes that a precious gemstone cannot be naturally occurring.

Appellants respectfully traverse the rejection of claims 25-44 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Appellant believes

U.S. Serial No.: 10/613,281
Applicant: Brookshire, M.
REPLY BRIEF

that the Examiner is taking an unreasonable interpretation of the language "naturally occurring precious gemstone." The words "naturally", "occurring", and "precious" are adjectives to the noun "gemstone." Each of these adjectives describes or modifies the noun to more clearly state the subject matter of the present invention. The words "naturally" and "occurring" are intended to be read together to indicate the origin of the gemstone, prior to modification by human hands, i.e. from natural sources. In the specification, the naturally occurring gemstone is supported by a diamond, see page 2, line 4. No one would be confused to say that a diamond is a "naturally occurring gemstone." In that context, it is understood that the "naturally occurring" modifies "gemstone" to indicate its origin. Diamond rough is formed in the earth over eons of time. The diamond rough has value in its original state and many potential uses, including lasers, cutting tools, and jewelry. It is generally understood in the industry that diamonds become precious when modified by human hands (cut) to take on visually appealing characteristics. By cutting the diamond in a particular manner, light reflects through its internal structure and produces a highly desirable brilliance or scintillation. The diamond rough becomes a precious gemstone suitable for jewelry.

The Examiner rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is considered to be improper and an unreasonable interpretation of the claim language. The Examiner admitted choose not to reject the claims under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming non-statutory subject matter, i.e. naturally occurring things. The Examiner expressly states that:

U.S. Serial No.: 10/613,281
Applicant: Brookshire, M.
REPLY BRIEF

"[f]rom viewing the body of the claims, it is clear that the appellant is not trying to claim something that has naturally occurred in nature. The body of the claims discusses all the different cut facets in the stone, which could never naturally occur in nature."

Appellant believe that the Examiner's logic fails when he states that "a precious gemstone cannot naturally occur." This interpretation takes an unreasonably narrow and inconsistent view of the claim language. While it is true that one does not extract cut diamonds from the ground, it does not follow that the precious gemstone cannot have a naturally occurring origin. When read with the main body of the claim, one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that the preamble refers to the gemstone has having a naturally occurring origin, which is then modified to be have a precious state. It is the modification of the naturally occurring precious gemstone that is the subject matter of the present invention as recited in the main body of the claims.

Appellant has tried to be very precise and definite in the recitation of the present invention. The combination of the words "naturally occurring precious gemstone" provides a highly descriptive and definite recitation of the present invention. The gemstone has a naturally occurring origin (as oppose to artificial) and the gemstone is precious, i.e. modified by human hands from its naturally occurring state to become highly desirable. Accordingly, claims 25-44 are considered definite within the intent of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, because one of ordinary skill in the art would understand the metes and bounds of the claimed subject matter.

U.S. Serial No.: 10/613,281
 Applicant: Brookshire, M.
 REPLY BRIEF

V. CONCLUSION

As a consequence, Appellant requests reversal of the final rejection of claims 25-44 in the instant patent application.

Respectfully submitted,
 QUARLES & BRADY STREICH LANG LLP

September 25, 2006

By: Robert D. Atkins
 Robert D. Atkins
 Registration No. 34,288

Address all correspondence to:
 Robert D. Atkins
 Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP
 One Renaissance Square
 Two North Central Avenue
 Phoenix, AZ 85004
 Telephone: 602-229-5311
 Facsimile: 602-229-5690
 Email: rda@quarles.com